References

[1] Manuel Abellanas, Ferran Hurtado, and Pedro A. Ramos. Structural tolerance and delaunay triangulation. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):221–227, 1999.

[2] Marcos Kawazoe Aguilera and Sam Toueg. A simple bivalency proof that t-resilient consensus requires $t+1$ rounds. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):155–158, 1999.

[3] Andris Ambainis. A note on quantum black-box complexity of almost all boolean functions. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):5–7, 1999.

[4] Amotz Bar-Noy, Magnús M. Halldórsson, and Guy Kortsarz. A matched approximation bound for the sum of a greedy coloring. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):135–140, 1999.

[5] P.C.P. Bhatt. An interesting way to partition a number. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):141–148, 1999.

[6] T.C. Edwin Cheng and Guoqing Wang. Two-machine flowshop scheduling with consecutive availability constraints. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):49–54, 1999.

[7] P.C. Chu. Verbs are not cases: Applying case grammar to document retrieval. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):29–34, 1999.

[8] Maxime Crochmore, A. Czumaj, L. Gąsieniec, T. Lecroq, W. Plandowski, and W. Rytter. Fast practical multi-pattern matching. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):107–113, 1999.

[9] Jürgen Dassow and Gheorghe Păun. Min of mat is not necessarily mat. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):175–177, 1999.

[10] Alak Kumar Datta and Ranjan Kumar Sen. An efficient scheme to solve two problems for two-terminal series parallel graphs. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):9–15, 1999.

[11] Stefan Dobrev and Imrich Vrťo. Optimal broadcasting in hypercubes with dynamic faults. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):81–85, 1999.

[12] M. Dror, W. Kubiak, and J.Y.-T. Leung. Tree precedence in scheduling: The strong-weak distinction. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):127–134, 1999.

[13] O. Goldreich, D. Micciancio, S. Safra, and J.-P. Seifert. Approximating shortest lattice vectors is not harder than approximating closest lattice vectors. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):55–61, 1999.

[14] Qing Hu, Yixin Zhang, and Xiaojun Shen. Rearrangeable graphs. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):23–27, 1999.

[15] Prasad Jayanti, Tushar Deepak Chandra, and Sam Toueg. The cost of graceful degradation for omission failures. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):167–172, 1999.

[16] Rainer Kemp. A one-to-one correspondence between a class of leftist trees and binary trees. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):97–105, 1999.

[17] Jong Soo Kim, Sung Tak Kang, and Myoung Ho Kim. On temporal aggregate processing based on time points. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):213–220, 1999.

[18] Sandi Klavžar, Jack Koolen, and Henry Martyn Mulder. Graphs which locally mirror the hypercube structure. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):87–90, 1999.

[19] Yu-Feng Lan, Yue-Li Wang, and Hitoshi Suzuki. A linear-time algorithm for solving the center problem on weighted cactus graphs. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):205–212, 1999.

[20] Mark Levene and George Loizou. How to prevent interaction of functional and inclusion dependencies. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):115–125, 1999.

[21] Chu Min Li. A constraint-based approach to narrow search trees for satisfiability. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):75–80, 1999.

[22] Yen-Chun Lin and Ching-Sung Yeh. Efficient parallel prefix algorithms on multiport message-passing systems. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):91–95, 1999.

[23] Zvi Lotker and Boaz Patt-Shamir. A note on randomized mutual search. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):187–191, 1999.

[24] Subhamoy Maitra and Palash Sarkar. Hamming weights of correlation immune boolean functions. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):149–153, 1999.

[25] Lhouari Nourine and Olivier Raynaud. A fast algorithm for building lattices. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):199–204, 1999.

[26] David A. Plaisted and Gregory Kucherov. The complexity of some complementation problems. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(3-4):159–165, 1999.

[27] J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín. Cyclic arrangements and roudneff's conjecture in the space. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):179–182, 1999.

[28] Sarnath Ramnath and Venkatesh Raman. Selecting small ranks in erew pram. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):183–186, 1999.

[29] Antonios Symvonis. A note on deflection worm routing on meshes. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):235–239, 1999.

[30] Eric Torng and Patchrawat Uthaisombut. A tight lower bound for the best-α algorithm. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):17–22, 1999.

[31] Yuh-Min Tseng and Jinn-Ke Jan. Attacks on threshold signature schemes with traceable signers. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):1–4, 1999.

[32] Tatsuhiro Tsuchiya, Nobuhiko Ido, and Tohru Kikuno. Constructing byzantine quorum systems from combinatorial designs. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):35–42, 1999.

[33] Vasco T. Vasconcelos and António Ravara. Communication errors in the π-calculus are undecidable. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):229–233, 1999.

[34] Arkadiusz Wojna. Counter machines. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(5-6):193–197, 1999.

[35] Limin Xiang and Kazuo Ushijima. Rearranging scattered information on bsr. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(1):43–47, 1999.

[36] Limin Xiang and Kazuo Ushijima. A theorem on the relation between bsr$_k$ amd bsr$^+$. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):71–73, 1999.

[37] Tomoyuki Yamakami and Andrew C. Yao. $nqp_C = co - c_=p$. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 71(2):63–69, 1999.